Score Weight Per Criteria											
Award	**Strategy & Leadership**	**Planning**	**Management & Operation**	**Achievements**	**Technical Execution**	**Environmental, Social & Governance Impact**	**Innovation**	**Other Benefit Realisation**	**Traction**	**Solution & Product Market Fit**	**Business Plan**
Project Awards											
BIM Project of the Year	10%	10%	N/A	N/A	10%	15%	15%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Digital Project | Digital Twin of the Year	10%	10%	N/A	N/A	10%	15%	15%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Offsite Project of the Year	10%	10%	N/A	N/A	10%	15%	15%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tech for Safety & Wellbeing	10%	10%	N/A	N/A	10%	40%	15%	15%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sustainable Project of the Year	10%	10%	N/A	N/A	10%	40%	15%	15%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Organisation Awards											
Sustainable Organisation of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	40%	10%	10%	N/A	N/A	N/A
BIM Organisation of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Consultant of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Contractor of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Digital Transformation of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Digital Design Organisation of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Startup of the Year	10%	10%	N/A	N/A	N/A	10%	10%	20%	10%	20%	10%
Developer of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
PropTech Organisation of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Individual Awards											
ConTech Leader of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
ConTech Star | Rising Star of the Year	N/A	N/A	N/A	10%	20%	10%	20%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sustainability Star | Rising Star of the Year	N/A	N/A	N/A	10%	20%	40%	20%	10%	N/A	N/A	N/A
BIM Champion of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	10%	10%	40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sustainability Leader of the Year	10%	10%	10%	N/A	10%	40%	10%	10%	N/A	N/A	N/A

Score Criteria Startup of the Year					
Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
Solution and product market fit (Quantifiable factors demonstrating product market fit such as total available market, potential market share, industry growth, market value and expansion)	- No/poor product market fit	- Solution displays some unique market value and opportunity - Supporting data missing or poor	- Solution displays some unique value and market opportunity - Supporting data supplied	- Solution displays good unique value and market opportunity - Supporting data supplied	- Market opportunity has been fully investigated - Solution displays strong product market fit - All supporting data supplied
Business plan (To what extent the business plan has been investigated and developed to gain realistic traction, refinement and growth)	- No/poor business plan	- Limited business plan	- Partial but effective business plan	- Thorough and effective business plan but with some gaps	- Complete and effective business plan with all requirements addressed
Strategy and leadership (How the strategy, policies and objectives are defined and set to succeed)	- No commitment or approach	- Limited strategy and leadership	- Partial but effective strategy and leadership	- Complete and effective strategy	- Complete and effective strategy - Strong leadership commitment
Traction (Quantifiable factors demonstrating market traction such as profitability, revenue, growing customer/user base, retention rate and amount of traffic)	- No/poor market traction	- At least one factor indicating market traction - Supporting evidence missing or poor	- At least one factor indicating market traction - Supporting evidence supplied	- More than one factor indicating market traction - Supporting evidence supplied	- Several factors indicating key market traction - All supporting evidence of a viable product supplied
Planning (Effort to secure the necessary structure, capability, and resources)	- No systematic approach	- Limited systematic approach	- Partial but effective approach	- Thorough and effective approach but with some gaps	- Complete and effective approach addressing all requirements
Environmental, social and governance impact (Quantifiable impact of ESG factors on the physical or social environment, eg carbon or waste reduction, ethical sourcing, materials use or gender equality)	- No ESG impact	- One ESG impact - Supporting evidence missing or poor	- More than one ESG impact - Supporting evidence missing or poor	- One ESG impact - Supporting evidence supplied	- More than one ESG impact - Supporting evidence supplied for each impact
Innovation (Novel and bespoke use of ideas, approaches, data, technology and tools that improved a business outcome)	- No innovation	- Novel or bespoke approach(es) but lack of clear impact	- Novel or bespoke approach(es) with some favourable impact	- Novel and bespoke approach(es) with important impact	- Novel and bespoke approach(es) with critical impact
Other Benefit realisation (Quantifiable and favourable outcomes such as % efficiency gains, constructability, project cost (CapEx/OpEx), time, safety or quality improvements)	- No benefit	- Some benefits realised - Minimal impact - Supporting evidence missing or poor	- Clear benefits realised - Some favourable impact - Supporting evidence supplied	- Clear benefits realised - Important impact - Supporting evidence supplied	- Many benefits realised - Critical impact - Supporting evidence supplied for all benefits

Score Criteria: Project, People and Organisation Awards					
Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
Strategy & leadership (How the strategy,policies and objectives are defined and set to succeed)	- No commitment or approach	- Limited strategy and leadership	- Partial but effective strategy and leadership	- Complete and effective strategy	- Complete and effective strategy - Strong leadership commitment
Planning (Effort to secure the necessary structure, capability, and resources)	- No systematic approach	- Limited systematic approach	- Partial but effective approach	- Thorough and effective approach but with some gaps	- Complete and effective approach addressing all requirements
Management and operation (Effort to create and maintain a conducive internal environment including process evaluation and improvement, change management, collaboration, learning & development)	- No systematic approach	- Reactionary approach	- Transition from reactionary to proactive approach	- Proactive approach with some process evaluation and improvement	- Complete and effective approach addressing all requirements
Technical execution (Level of uniqueness, deployment and importance of the methods to the project/s; complexity of project in which the methods were used)	- Simple project/s - Methods are not unique or tailored - Methods are basic and/or partially deployed - Methods have minimal importance	- Simple project/s - Some methods are unique or tailored - Methods are basic but well-deployed - Methods have some importance	- Medium complexity project/s - Methods are mostly unique or tailored - Methods are complex but deployed with large gaps - Methods are important	- Complex project/s - Methods are mostly unique and tailored - Methods are complex and well-deployed - Methods are very important	- Very complex project/s - Methods are clearly unique and tailored - Methods are very complex and well-deployed - Methods are critical
Environmental, social and governance impact (Quantifiable impact of ESG factors on the physical or social environment, eg carbon or waste reduction, ethical sourcing, materials use or gender equality)	- No ESG impact	- One ESG impact - Supporting evidence missing or poor	- More than one ESG impact - Supporting evidence missing or poor	- One ESG impact - Supporting evidence supplied	- More than one ESG impact - Supporting evidence supplied for each impact
Innovation (Novel and bespoke use of ideas, approaches, data, technology and tools that improved a business outcome)	- No innovation	- Novel or bespoke approach(es) but lack of clear impact	- Novel or bespoke approach(es) with some favourable impact	- Novel and bespoke approach(es) with important impact	- Novel and bespoke approach(es) with critical impact
Other Benefit Realisation (Quantifiable and favourable outcomes such as % efficiency gains, constructability, project cost (CapEx/OpEx), time, safety or quality improvements)	- No benefit realisation	- Some benefits realised - Minimal impact - Supporting evidence missing or poor	- Clear benefits realised - Some favourable impact - Supporting evidence supplied	- Clear benefits realised - Important impact - Supporting evidence supplied	- Many benefits realised - Critical impact - Supporting evidence supplied for all benefits

Score Criteria: Star and Rising Star Awards					
	1	2	3	4	5
Achievements Measurable results delivered in the past 12–18 months, such as projects, milestones and recognition, backed by evidence.	No specific ESG impact is shown, or the entry only states intentions or policies.	ESG impacts are described but not measured, or the supporting evidence is missing or weak.	At least one clear ESG impact, measured, with supporting evidence.	More than one ESG impact, measured, with supporting evidence.	Several significant ESG impacts, measured against a baseline or target, with clear supporting evidence such as data, certification or third-party verification.